



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: 700 AMMINGTON PLACE, VALENTINE, VA 24175  
Washington, D.C. 20591  
& 888-777-4747

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 853,343	05 10 2001	Allan Bradley	11635-005001-OIA 00-43	8644

7590 12 26 2001

GREGORY P. EINHORN  
Fish & Richardson P.C.  
Suite 500  
4350 La Jolla Village  
San Diego, CA 92122

EXAMINER

CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  
1686 5

DATE MAILED: 12 26 2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/853,343	BRADLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Suryaprabha Chunduru	1656

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2001.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-83 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 1-83 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.

3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received

\*\* REMARKS: (If any remarks are made in the Remarks section of the cover sheet, they will be repeated here.)

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2  Notice of Draftsman's Drawing Review (PTO-444)

3  Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s): \_\_\_\_\_

5  Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

**Restriction/Election**

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-57 and 82-83, drawn to a modified biological molecule, an article comprising array, a kit, and a method of making biological molecule and an article, classified in class 530, subclass 300, 350, class 536 and subclass 22.1, 123.1, class 436 and subclass 71, and 518.
- II. Claims 58-59, drawn to a method for identifying a specific binding partner, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- III. Claim(s) 60-81, drawn to a method of generating a molecular profile, classified in class 436, subclass 6.

5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Groups II-III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed in the invention of Group I can be used in gene therapy or in detection assays or in purification assays.

Inventions in Group II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the

Group I is independent from each of Groups II - III because the combination of Group I is materially different from (a) a method of detecting a specific binding partner of Group II; (b) a method of generating a molecular profile of Group III. The modified biological molecule of Groups I may be obtained by synthesizing chemically.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 703-305-1004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M. Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on 703-308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

  
Suryaprabha Chunduru  
December 19, 2001

  
JEFFREY FREDMAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER